

Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics

On numerical methods for the simulation of two-phase flows with population balance systems

Ellen Schmeyer,

in collaboration with:

Robert Bordás (Magdeburg), Volker John (WIAS), Dominique Thévenin (Magdeburg)

Content

1 Motivation

- 2 Mathematical Model
- 3 Mathematical Methods
- 4 Simulation of the Experiments
- **5** Conclusions and Outlook



Motivation

- Simulation of two phase wind tunnel experiments
- Development of robust, accurate, and efficient numerical schemes for simulations of population balance systems
- Population balance system describe evolutions of the droplet size distribution, not the behavior of individual droplets
- Modeling point of view: coupled systems of
 - Navier-Stokes equations (turbulent air flow, 3D)
 - Droplet size distribution equation (droplets, integro partial differential equation, 4D)
 - \implies Equations are defined in domains with different dimensions



Motivation

 Simulation of wind tunnel experiments, comparison of numerical and experimental data (Bordás, Thévenin)



Experimental Data

- Available on three planes perpendicular to the flow direction
- Used as boundary condition for simulation (inlet) and for evaluation of the results (outlet)
- Important data: flow velocity, droplet size distribution, droplet velocity

Experiment

- Horizontal wind tunnel
- Two phase flow
 - Turbulent air flow
 - Water droplet distribution
- Droplet motion in turbulent flows
- Aggregation of droplets





Turbulent flow

Incompressible Navier–Stokes equations

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \mathbf{u} &- 2\nabla \cdot (\mathsf{R} \mathbf{e}^{-1} \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u})) + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{ in } (0, T] \times \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega \end{split}$$

- Flow domain and boundary conditions given by experimental data
- Grid incorporates points of measurements as nodes
- Turbulence modeling
 - Variational multiscale finite element VMS method, John, Kaya (2005)
 - Variational multiscale finite element VMS method with adaptive large scale space, John, Kindl (2010)



$$f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{drop} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d}$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{agg} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd'$$

$$- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{agg}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}]$$

- In the model are included
 - Motion in turbulent flow ⇒ transport-dominated equation
 - Growth in supersaturated air
 - Aggregation



$$f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{drop} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d}$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{agg} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd'$$

$$- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{agg}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}]$$

- In the model are included
 - Motion in turbulent flow ⇒ transport-dominated equation
 - Growth in supersaturated air
 - Aggregation



$$f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{drop} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d}$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{agg} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd'$$

$$- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{agg}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}]$$

- In the model are included
 - Motion in turbulent flow ⇒ transport-dominated equation
 - Growth in supersaturated air
 - Aggregation



$$\begin{aligned} f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{drop} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d} \\ &= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{agg} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd' \\ &- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{agg}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}] \end{aligned}$$

- Droplet-size distribution
- Droplet velocity (Navier–Stokes Equation-(space-time averaged slip velocity))
 - \Rightarrow coupling to the Navier–Stokes equation
- Growth constant
- Droplet diameter
- Aggregation kernel



$$\begin{split} f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{drop} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d} \\ &= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{agg} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd' \\ &- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{agg}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{ in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}] \end{split}$$

- Droplet-size distribution
- Droplet velocity (Navier–Stokes Equation-(space-time averaged slip velocity))
 - \Rightarrow coupling to the Navier–Stokes equation
- Growth constant
- Droplet diameter
- Aggregation kernel



$$\begin{split} f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{\text{drop}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d} \\ &= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{\text{agg}} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) f(\cdot, d') dd' \\ &- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{\text{agg}}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{ in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}] \end{split}$$

- Droplet-size distribution
- Droplet velocity (Navier–Stokes Equation-(space-time averaged slip velocity))
 - \Rightarrow coupling to the Navier–Stokes equation
- Growth constant
- Droplet diameter
- Aggregation kernel



$$f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{drop} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d}$$

$$= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{agg} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd'$$

$$- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{agg}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}]$$

- Droplet-size distribution
- Droplet velocity (Navier–Stokes Equation-(space-time averaged slip velocity))
 - \Rightarrow coupling to the Navier–Stokes equation
- Growth constant
- Droplet diameter
- Aggregation kernel



$$\begin{split} f_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{\text{drop}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f + \left(\frac{a}{d}f\right)_{d} \\ &= \frac{d^{2}}{2} \int_{d_{\min}}^{d} \frac{\kappa_{\text{agg}} \left((d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}, d' \right)}{(d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{2}{3}}} f\left(\cdot, (d^{3} - d'^{3})^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) f(\cdot, d') dd' \\ &- f(\cdot, d) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{\text{agg}}(d, d') f(\cdot, d') dd' \quad \text{in } (0, T] \times \Omega \times [d_{\min}, d_{\max}] \end{split}$$

- Droplet-size distribution
- Droplet velocity (Navier–Stokes Equation-(space-time averaged slip velocity))
 - \Rightarrow coupling to the Navier–Stokes equation
- Growth constant
- Droplet diameter
- Aggregation kernel



Aggregation

- Convolution integral
 - Simple two-loop scheme very slow
 - Integrand nearly singular: if standard quadrature rules are used ⇒ poor accuracy ⇒ considerable loss of mass
- Current approach: evaluation of the aggregation term bases on a preprocessing step

$$\begin{split} f(d[j]) \int_{d_{\min}}^{d_{\max}} \kappa_{\text{agg}}(d[j], d') f(d') dd' \\ &= f(d[j]) \sum_{i=1}^{N_a - 1} \int_{d[i]}^{d[i+1]} \kappa_{\text{agg}}(d[j], d') f(d') dd' \\ &\approx f(d[j]) \sum_{i=1}^{N_a - 1} \frac{f(d[i+1]) + f(d[i])}{2} \underbrace{\int_{d[i]}^{d[i+1]} \kappa_{\text{agg}}(d[j], d') dd'}_{\text{preprocessed integrals}} \end{split}$$

- Remaining integrals depend only on the kernel and the grid for the internal coordinate, can be computed in preprocessing step
- More efficient and accurate than simple approach
- But still some loss of mass



Aggregation

Work in progress

- More efficient scheme from Hackbusch (2007)
- Implement scheme on basis of mass instead of diameters
 - \Rightarrow Singularity smaller
 - \Rightarrow Smaller numerical errors expected

Aggregation kernel

Model consists of two parts: Brownian motion and shear induced motion

$$\kappa_{\text{agg}} = C_{\text{brown}} \frac{2k_B T}{3\mu} (d+d') (\frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{d'}) + C_{\text{shear}} \sqrt{2\nabla \mathbf{u} : \nabla \mathbf{u}} (d+d')^3$$

- *C*_{brown} and *C*_{shear}: unknown parameters
- Parameter identification by comparison with experimental data



Numerical Methods for Transport-Dominated Equations

- Efficient and accurate numerical method for the 4D transport equation necessary; see John, Roland (2010)
- Available methods
 - Forward/backward Euler + finite difference upwind (fast, rather inaccurate)
 - Crank–Nicolson + linear FEM–FCT; Kuzmin (2009) (rather slow, accurate)
 - Finite Difference ENO schemes with explicit TVD Runge-Kutta
- Work in progress
 - FEM–FCT with Group FEM, Fletcher (1973)



- Ω: 51 x 46 x 19 nodes, hexahedral grid
- $\bullet \quad \Delta t = 0.001$
- Q_2/P_1^{disc} finite element method
- Crank–Nicolson scheme
- Simulations with the code MooNMD

Snap shot of the computed velocity field







- d.o.f.: 1 000 000, hexahedral grid
- time step: $\Delta t = 5e 3$





velocity 6.50278

2

Calibration of the parameters C_{brown} and C_{shear} of the aggregation kernel



Good agreement for suitable chosen parameters $C_{\text{brown}} = 1.5e6$ and $C_{\text{shear}} \in [0.01, 1]$



■ Simulations without growth (supersaturation = 0)



Dominant aggregation corresponds to physics of the problem



Different length of the time step

•
$$\Delta t = 10^{-3}$$
 vs. $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-2}$





Simulation of the Experiments – Robustness w.r.t. Methods

- Different turbulence models
 - Finite element VMS method with projection space P₀
 - Finite element VMS method with adaptively chosen projection space





Simulation of the Experiments – Robustness w.r.t. Methods

- Laminar flow extension of experimental mean velocity at inlet to the whole domain
- Goal: check the influence of the turbulence on the psd





Different methods for the population balance equation



method	computing time per time step
forward Euler + finite difference upwinding	178s $pprox$ 3min.
backward Euler + finite difference upwinding	329s $pprox$ 6min
explicit Runge–Kutta + Eno	195s $pprox$ 3.25min
Crank–Nicolson + FEMFCT	857s $pprox$ 14.5min



Conclusions

- Calibration of parameters in aggregation kernel to match experimental data could be performed
- Experiments could be simulated quite accurately
- Results robust with respect to numerical methods
- Flow field around cylinder calculated

Outlook

- Next experiment: flow around a cylinder: Droplet Distribution has to be calculated
- Alternative methods for transport equation
 - FEM-FCT with Group FEM, Fletcher (1973)
- Aggregation term
 - Formulation in terms of mass instead of diameter
 - Method by Hackbusch



Conclusions

- Calibration of parameters in aggregation kernel to match experimental data could be performed
- Experiments could be simulated quite accurately
- Results robust with respect to numerical methods
- Flow field around cylinder calculated

Outlook

- Next experiment: flow around a cylinder: Droplet Distribution has to be calculated
- Alternative methods for transport equation
 - FEM–FCT with Group FEM, Fletcher (1973)
- Aggregation term
 - Formulation in terms of mass instead of diameter
 - Method by Hackbusch

