





# Experimental investigation of two-phase flows representing cumulus cloud conditions

R. Bordás, E. Schmeyer, V. John and D. Thévenin

MetStröm Conference, 06/07/2011







#### Contents



- Experiments
  - Setup
  - Methods
  - Results
- Online database
- Experiments  $\Leftrightarrow$  simulations
- Conclusions





### Contents

- Motivation
- Experiments
  - Setup
  - Methods
  - Results
- Online database
- Experiments  $\Leftrightarrow$  simulations
- Conclusions





# MetStröm

### Contents

- Motivation
- Experiments
  - Setup
  - Methods
  - Results
- Online database
- Experiments  $\Leftrightarrow$  simulations

FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-UND SYSTEMTECHNIK

Conclusions





#### FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-UND SYSTEMTECHNIK

MetStröm

### Contents

- Motivation
- Experiments
  - Setup
  - Methods
  - Results
- Online database
- Experiments  $\Leftrightarrow$  simulations
- Conclusions





#### FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-UND SYSTEMTECHNIK

MetStröm

# Contents

Motivation



- Setup
- Methods
- Results

# • Online database

- Experiments 🗇 simula
- Conclusions





#### FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-UND SYSTEMTECHNIK

OSO

MetStröm

y-coordinates

# Contents

- Motivation
- Experiments
  - Setup
  - Methods
  - Results
- Online database
- Experiments 🗇 simulations
- Conclusions





#### FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-

UND SYSTEMTECHNIK

OSO

0.5

**MetStröm** 

y-coordinates

# **Contents**

- Motivation
- Experiments
  - Setup
  - **Methods**
  - **Results**
- Online database
- Experiments 🗇 simulatio
- Conclusions





### Motivation

- Poor reliability of precipitation forecasting
  - In particular warm rain initiation is a mystery
- Collision-induced growth in turbulent flows
  - Theory I observations: a factor of 2 or more





### Motivation

- Poor reliability of precipitation forecasting
  - In particular warm rain initiation is a mystery
- Collision-induced growth in turbulent flows
  - Theory I observations: a factor of 2 or more

- Experiments in wind tunnels are essential
  - Non-intrusive measurements
  - Experimental characterization of both phases
  - Droplet-droplet interactions
  - Freely available database
- Quantifications of droplet collision rates
  - Comparison with theoretical predictions





83

FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-UND SYSTEMTECHNIK





Measurement planes in the extended <sup>**</sup>
test section: $x = -400$ , 0, 400, 800 mm,
Considered droplet path: 1200 mm,
Observation time: ~ 0.5 s

	Grid to generate controlled turbulence					
Air	Water injection			৩	6	อ
	I		Vo	rtex		

generation

	clouds	wind tunnel
Humidity	Saturated	Saturated
<i>U</i> [m/s]	~ a few m/s	~ 2.53.0
LWC [g/m³]	~ 0.1-3.5	~ 2
<i>d</i> <sub>10</sub> [µm]	10-20	8.512.5
<i>n</i> [#/cm³]	up to 7000	~ 2000



### **Configurations M1-M4**







#### **Droplet injection**



- Counter–flow direction
- Droplet distribution, measured by means of PDA
- Lognormal probability density function (PDF)

$$y = f(x|\mu,\sigma) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{(-\ln(x)-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- Since narrow distribution, characteristic diameters are applicable:
  - Mean diameter  $d_{10} = 13.46 \mu m$
  - Volume mean diameter  $d_{30}=23.83 \ \mu m$



**MetStröm** 

Measurement method	Measured phase	Measured quantity	Derived quantity
LDV	air	u, u'	Tu, TKE
PDA	drops	u, u', d	DSD, n <sub>d</sub> , u <sub>rel</sub>
PIV	air and drops	u, v, w	$\varepsilon \rightarrow \eta, \tau_k$
Shadowgraphy	drops	u, w, d	collisions





**MetStröm** 

Measurement method	Measured phase	Measured quantity	Derived quantity
LDV	air	u, u'	Tu, TKE
PDA	drops	u, u', d	DSD, n <sub>d</sub> , u <sub>rel</sub>
PIV	air and drops	u, v, w	$\varepsilon \rightarrow \eta, \tau_k$
Shadowgraphy	drops	u, w, d	collisions





**MetStröm** 

Measurement method	Measured phase	Measured quantity	Derived quantity
LDV	air	u, u'	Tu, TKE
PDA	drops	u, u', d	DSD, n <sub>d</sub> , u <sub>rel</sub>
PIV	air and drops	u, v, w	$\varepsilon \rightarrow \eta, \tau_k$
Shadowgraphy	drops	u, w, d	collisions





**MetStröm** 

Measurement method	Measured phase	Measured quantity	Derived quantity
LDV	air	u, u'	Tu, TKE
PDA	drops	u, u', d	DSD, n <sub>d</sub> , u <sub>rel</sub>
PIV	air and drops	u, v, w	$\varepsilon \rightarrow \eta, \tau_k$
Shadowgraphy	drops	u, w, d	collisions



MetStröm

log\_0(k)

10<sup>0</sup>

0.04

0.05

-3



#### **PIV** measurements

Velocity information at y=0, applied for the calculation of

- turbulent energy spectrum and
- dissipation rate
- E.g., for configuration M3:







#### Measured mean values of different configurations

	M1	M2 (grid)	M3 (cyl.)	M4	Cumulus clouds
<i>U</i> [m/s]	2.45	2.93	2.32	2.92	18
<i>u</i> ' [m/s]	0.25	0.18	0.33	0.35	0.8
<i>k</i> [m²/s²]	0.11	0.05	0.18	0.22	1
<i>d</i> <sub>10</sub> [μm]	12.61	11.68	12.44	8.6	1020
$\varepsilon [\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{s}^3]$	0.025	0.012	0.026	0.055	0.0010.1
τ <sub>k</sub> [s]	2.5e-2	3.5e-2	2.4e-2	1.7e-2	1e-2
η <b>[m]</b>	6.2e-4	7.4e-4	6.1e-4	5.1e-4	1e-3
$\lambda_g$	1.1e-2	5.6e-3	4.9e-3	9.3e-3	1e-1
$Re_{\lambda}$	170	70	60	200	1e+5

# **MetStröm**

velocity



### **Pulsating flow**



10000,000

Property	Cumulus clouds	Measured or derived by	Conf. M4 (x=0)	Pulsating flow (x=0)
<i>U</i> [m/s]	06	LDV	2.92	3.02
Re	10 <sup>6</sup> 10 <sup>7</sup>	LDV	105	1.1 105
<i>u</i> ' [m/s]	0.8	LDV	0.35	0.84
$k [{ m m}^2/{ m s}^2]$	1	LDV	0.22	1.06
<i>d</i> <sub>10</sub> [µm]	1020	PDA	8.5	9.2

6,00



FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-UND SYSTEMTECHNIK



#### Shadowgraphy – collision rate: experiments vs. theory Experiments (n with standard deviation) Experiments (n with standard deviation) O Experiments (D with standard deviation) O Experiments (D with standard deviation) X Theoretical approach (Williams and Crane) X Theoretical approach (Williams and Crane) Position of bluff body Position of bluff body -20 -20 **M3 M4** 0 •<del>•••</del>• 0 40 20 20 - 20-40 **0**-40 ÷ 60 z [mm] [**uu**] **z** 80 × 80 80 e 100 100 120 120 Θ 140 × -10-140 × 160 160 0E+00 2E+10 4E+10 6E+10 8E+10 1E+11 2E+10 0E+00 5E+09 1E+10 2E+10 N [1/m<sup>3</sup>s] N [1/m<sup>3</sup>s]

\*Williams, J.J.E. and Crane, R.I. Particle collision rate in turbulent flow. Int. J. Multiphase Flow, 1983. 9: p. 421.

MetStröm



# Comparison (PDA $\Leftrightarrow$ Shadowgraphy)

FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-

- Are the experimental results realistic?
- Growth rate measured by PDA ⇔ growth by droplet collision





#### Online database – <u>www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem</u>



#### Continuously updated...





#### Online database – www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem



#### Continuously updated...



#### Online database - www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem

UND SYSTEMTECHNIK



#### Continuously updated...

# **MetStröm**

#### Online database – <u>www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem</u>

FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-

UND SYSTEMTECHNIK



#### Continuously updated...

-200 -150 -100 -50 0 50 100 150 200



# MetStröm

#### Online database - www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem





### **Companion numerical simulations**

FAKULTÄT FÜR VERFAHRENS-

080

Main interface between experiments and simulations: PDA measurements of droplet number density including standard deviation for each size class

VST

VON GUERICKI

IVERSITAT









#### Conclusions

- Experiments in wind tunnel with key meteorological conditions
- Complete experimental characterization of the air flow and water spray
- Results freely available in a database accessible at <a href="http://www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem">http://www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem</a>.
- Successful validation of companion simulations
- Experimental non-intrusive measurement of droplet collision rate
  - Comparison with theoretical predictions
  - The measured collision rates are higher than predicted by theory, typically by a factor of 2 to 6.









**MetStröm** 

### Thank you for your attention!

#### http://www.ovgu.de/isut/lss/metstroem http://metstroem.mi.fu-berlin.de/

#### bordas@ovgu.de